



A-level
PHYSICS
7408/3BA

Paper 3 Section B Astrophysics

Mark scheme

June 2021

Version: 1.0 Final



2 1 6 A 7 4 0 8 / 3 B A / M S

Mark schemes are prepared by the Lead Assessment Writer and considered, together with the relevant questions, by a panel of subject teachers. This mark scheme includes any amendments made at the standardisation events which all associates participate in and is the scheme which was used by them in this examination. The standardisation process ensures that the mark scheme covers the students' responses to questions and that every associate understands and applies it in the same correct way. As preparation for standardisation each associate analyses a number of students' scripts. Alternative answers not already covered by the mark scheme are discussed and legislated for. If, after the standardisation process, associates encounter unusual answers which have not been raised they are required to refer these to the Lead Examiner.

It must be stressed that a mark scheme is a working document, in many cases further developed and expanded on the basis of students' reactions to a particular paper. Assumptions about future mark schemes on the basis of one year's document should be avoided; whilst the guiding principles of assessment remain constant, details will change, depending on the content of a particular examination paper.

Further copies of this mark scheme are available from aqa.org.uk

Copyright information

AQA retains the copyright on all its publications. However, registered schools/colleges for AQA are permitted to copy material from this booklet for their own internal use, with the following important exception: AQA cannot give permission to schools/colleges to photocopy any material that is acknowledged to a third party even for internal use within the centre.

Copyright © 2021 AQA and its licensors. All rights reserved.

Physics - Mark scheme instructions to examiners

1. General

The mark scheme for each question shows:

- the marks available for each part of the question
- the total marks available for the question
- the typical answer or answers which are expected
- extra information to help the Examiner make his or her judgement and help to delineate what is acceptable or not worthy of credit or, in discursive answers, to give an overview of the area in which a mark or marks may be awarded.

The extra information is aligned to the appropriate answer in the left-hand part of the mark scheme and should only be applied to that item in the mark scheme.

At the beginning of a part of a question a reminder may be given, for example: where consequential marking needs to be considered in a calculation; or the answer may be on the diagram or at a different place on the script.

In general the right-hand side of the mark scheme is there to provide those extra details which confuse the main part of the mark scheme yet may be helpful in ensuring that marking is straightforward and consistent.

2. Emboldening

- 2.1** In a list of acceptable answers where more than one mark is available ‘any **two** from’ is used, with the number of marks emboldened. Each of the following bullet points is a potential mark.
- 2.2** A bold **and** is used to indicate that both parts of the answer are required to award the mark.
- 2.3** Alternative answers acceptable for a mark are indicated by the use of **or**. Different terms in the mark scheme are shown by a / ; eg allow smooth / free movement.

3. Marking points

3.1 Marking of lists

This applies to questions requiring a set number of responses, but for which candidates have provided extra responses. The general principle to be followed in such a situation is that ‘right + wrong = wrong’.

Each error / contradiction negates each correct response. So, if the number of errors / contradictions equals or exceeds the number of marks available for the question, no marks can be awarded.

However, responses considered to be neutral (often prefaced by ‘Ignore’ in the mark scheme) are not penalised.

3.2 Marking procedure for calculations

Full marks can usually be given for a correct numerical answer without working shown unless the question states ‘Show your working’. However, if a correct numerical answer can be evaluated from incorrect physics then working will be required. The mark scheme will indicate both this and the credit (if any) that can be allowed for the incorrect approach.

However, if the answer is incorrect, mark(s) can usually be gained by correct substitution / working and this is shown in the ‘extra information’ column or by each stage of a longer calculation.

A calculation must be followed through to answer in decimal form. An answer in surd form is never acceptable for the final (evaluation) mark in a calculation and will therefore generally be denied one mark.

3.3 Interpretation of ‘it’

Answers using the word ‘it’ should be given credit only if it is clear that the ‘it’ refers to the correct subject.

3.4 Errors carried forward, consequential marking and arithmetic errors

Allowances for errors carried forward are likely to be restricted to calculation questions and should be shown by the abbreviation ECF or *conseq* in the marking scheme.

An arithmetic error should be penalised for one mark only unless otherwise amplified in the marking scheme. Arithmetic errors may arise from a slip in a calculation or from an incorrect transfer of a numerical value from data given in a question.

3.5 Phonetic spelling

The phonetic spelling of correct scientific terminology should be credited (eg fizix) **unless** there is a possible confusion (eg defraction/refraction) with another technical term.

3.6 Brackets

(.....) are used to indicate information which is not essential for the mark to be awarded but is included to help the examiner identify the sense of the answer required.

3.7 Ignore / Insufficient / Do not allow

‘Ignore’ or ‘insufficient’ is used when the information given is irrelevant to the question or not enough to gain the marking point. Any further correct amplification could gain the marking point.

‘Do **not** allow’ means that this is a wrong answer which, even if the correct answer is given, will still mean that the mark is not awarded.

3.8 Significant figure penalties

Answers to questions in the practical sections (7407/2 – Section A and 7408/3A) should display an appropriate number of significant figures. For non-practical sections, an A-level paper may contain up to 2 marks (1 mark for AS) that are contingent on the candidate quoting the **final** answer in a calculation to a specified number of significant figures (sf). This will generally be assessed to be the number of sf of the datum with the least number of sf from which the answer is determined. The mark scheme will give the range of sf that are acceptable but this will normally be the sf of the datum (or this sf -1).

An answer in surd form cannot gain the sf mark. An incorrect calculation **following some working** can gain the sf mark. For a question beginning with the command word ‘Show that...’, the answer should be quoted to **one more** sf than the sf quoted in the question eg ‘Show that X is equal to about 2.1 cm’ –

answer should be quoted to 3 sf. An answer to 1 sf will not normally be acceptable, unless the answer is an integer eg a number of objects. In non-practical sections, the need for a consideration will be indicated in the question by the use of ‘Give your answer to an appropriate number of significant figures’.

3.9 Unit penalties

An A-level paper may contain up to 2 marks (1 mark for AS) that are contingent on the candidate quoting the correct unit for the answer to a calculation. The need for a unit to be quoted will be indicated in the question by the use of ‘State an appropriate SI unit for your answer’. Unit answers will be expected to appear in the most commonly agreed form for the calculation concerned; strings of fundamental (base) units would not. For example, 1 tesla and 1 Wb m^{-2} would both be acceptable units for magnetic flux density but $1 \text{ kg m}^2 \text{ s}^{-2} \text{ A}^{-1}$ would not.

3.10 Level of response marking instructions

Level of response mark schemes are broken down into three levels, each of which has a descriptor. The descriptor for the level shows the average performance for the level. There are two marks in each level.

Before you apply the mark scheme to a student’s answer read through the answer and annotate it (as instructed) to show the qualities that are being looked for. You can then apply the mark scheme.

Determining a level

Start at the lowest level of the mark scheme and use it as a ladder to see whether the answer meets the descriptor for that level. The descriptor for the level indicates the different qualities that might be seen in the student’s answer for that level. If it meets the lowest level then go to the next one and decide if it meets this level, and so on, until you have a match between the level descriptor and the answer. With practice and familiarity you will find that for better answers you will be able to quickly skip through the lower levels of the mark scheme.

When assigning a level you should look at the overall quality of the answer and not look to pick holes in small and specific parts of the answer where the student has not performed quite as well as the rest. If the answer covers different aspects of different levels of the mark scheme you should use a best fit approach for defining the level and then use the variability of the response to help decide the mark within the level. i.e. if the response is predominantly level 2 with a small amount of level 3 material it would be placed in level 2.


The exemplar materials used during standardisation will help you to determine the appropriate level. There will be an answer in the standardising materials which will correspond with each level of the mark scheme. This answer will have been awarded a mark by the Lead Examiner. You can compare the student’s answer with the example to determine if it is the same standard, better or worse than the example. You can then use this to allocate a mark for the answer based on the Lead Examiner’s mark on the example.

You may well need to read back through the answer as you apply the mark scheme to clarify points and assure yourself that the level and the mark are appropriate.

Indicative content in the mark scheme is provided as a guide for examiners. It is not intended to be exhaustive and you must credit other valid points. Students do not have to cover all of the points mentioned in the indicative content to reach the highest level of the mark scheme.

An answer which contains nothing of relevance to the question must be awarded no marks.

| Question | Answers | Additional comments/Guidance | Mark | AO |
|----------|---|---|------|--------|
| 01.1 | W – protostar/gas cloud ✓ ₁ X – main sequence star ✓ ₂ Y – (Red) giant AND Z – (white) dwarf ✓ ₃ | Candidates may add to the diagram – this should be credited Accept formation stage for W Condone Supergiant for Y | 3 | AO1-1a |

| Question | Answers | Additional comments/Guidance | Mark | AO |
|----------|--|--|------|--------|
| 01.2 | Positioned magnitude less than 5 ...and class O ✓ | Grey box shows accepted region  | 1 | AO3-1a |

| Question | Answers | Additional comments/Guidance | Mark | AO |
|----------|--|---|------|--------|
| 01.3 | Transit method measures how much light is blocked by planet. ✓ ₁ | MP1 Candidate demonstrates they know what the transit method is. Any suggestion that method involves seeing a dot moving across the face of the star award 0 marks. | 1 | AO1-1b |
| | Planet is small (and star is very big) so little light blocked out. ✓ ₂ | MP2 Links this to Earth-sized planet. | 1 | AO2-1e |

| Question | Answers | Additional comments/Guidance | Mark | AO |
|----------|---|---|------|--------|
| 01.4 | Use of σAT^4 ✓ ₁ | Condone incorrect A formula but must include other quantities. For example Power output of Theta Carinae = $\sigma AT^4 =$ $5.67 \times 10^{-8} \times 4\pi R^2 \times 31000^4$ ✓ ₁ $= 8.0 (7.97) \times 10^{30} \text{ W}$ ✓ ₂ Ratio of power outputs = $\frac{7.97 \times 10^{30}}{3.8 \times 10^{26}}$ $= 2.10 \times 10^4$ ✓ ₃ So planet must be $\sqrt{2.10 \times 10^4} = 1.45 \times 10^2$ times further away ✓ ₄ $= 1.45 \times 10^2 \times 1.5 \times 10^{11} = 2.2 \times 10^{13} \text{ m}$ ✓ ₅ | 3 | AO2-1f |
| | $= 8.0 (7.97) \times 10^{30} (\text{W})$ ✓ ₂ Attempt to use inverse square law for Earth or find ratio of powers (allow ecf) ✓ ₃ Equates intensities for Earth and planet orbiting TC ✓ ₄ $\sqrt{\text{their ratio}} \times 1.5 \times 10^{11}$ or $\sqrt{\frac{\text{their power for TC}}{1.7 \times 10^4}}$ ✓ ₅ $(= 2.2 (2.17) \times 10^{13} \text{ m})$ | | 2 | AO3-1b |

| | | | |
|--------------|--|--|-----------|
| Total | | | 11 |
|--------------|--|--|-----------|

| Question | Answers | Additional comments/Guidance | Mark | AO |
|-----------------|----------------|-------------------------------------|-------------|-----------|
|-----------------|----------------|-------------------------------------|-------------|-----------|

| | | | | |
|-------------|-------|--|---|--------|
| 02.1 | D | | 1 | AO1-1a |
|-------------|-------|--|---|--------|

| Question | Answers | Additional comments/Guidance | Mark | AO |
|-----------------|----------------|-------------------------------------|-------------|-----------|
|-----------------|----------------|-------------------------------------|-------------|-----------|

| | | | | |
|-------------|--|---|---|--------|
| 02.2 | Use of $m - M = 5 \log (d/10)$ to give $m = 5 \log (d/10) + M = 5 \log (7.7 \times 10^4) - 19.3$ giving $m = 5.1 \checkmark_1$ which is brighter than their quoted Hipparcos limit \checkmark_2 Qualitative comparison of the brightness of their m with 6 leading to a conclusion \checkmark_3 | Allow -19.0 to -19.5 for M giving $m = 5.4$ to 4.9 MP1 finds apparent magnitude MP3 comparative statement about answer that relates the 2 brightnesses correctly (allow ecf) | 3 | AO3-1b |
|-------------|--|---|---|--------|

| | | | |
|--------------|--|--|----------|
| Total | | | 4 |
|--------------|--|--|----------|

| Question | Answers | Additional comments/Guidance | Mark | AO | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------|--|---|-------------|-----------|---|---|---|---|---|---|--|---|--|---|--|---|-----------------------|---|------------|----------------------|
| 03 | <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Mark</th> <th>Criteria</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>6</td> <td>All 3 areas covered in some detail. 6 marks can be awarded even if there is an error and/or parts of one aspect missing.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5</td> <td>All 3 areas covered at least 2 in detail. Whilst there will be gaps, there should only be an occasional error.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4</td> <td>Two areas successfully discussed, or one discussed and two others covered partially. Whilst there will be several gaps, there should only be an occasional error.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3</td> <td>One area discussed and one discussed partially, or all three covered partially. There are likely to be several errors and omissions in the discussion.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2</td> <td>Only one area discussed or makes a partial attempt at two areas.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>1</td> <td>None of the three areas covered without significant error.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>0</td> <td>No relevant analysis.</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> | Mark | Criteria | 6 | All 3 areas covered in some detail. 6 marks can be awarded even if there is an error and/or parts of one aspect missing. | 5 | All 3 areas covered at least 2 in detail. Whilst there will be gaps, there should only be an occasional error. | 4 | Two areas successfully discussed, or one discussed and two others covered partially. Whilst there will be several gaps, there should only be an occasional error. | 3 | One area discussed and one discussed partially, or all three covered partially. There are likely to be several errors and omissions in the discussion. | 2 | Only one area discussed or makes a partial attempt at two areas. | 1 | None of the three areas covered without significant error. | 0 | No relevant analysis. | Examples of points made in a good answer Overall shape <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Overall curve is a black body spectrum • Links λ_{\max} to temperature • Continuous spectrum emitted by star Absorption lines <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Dips are due to absorption • Light of particular wavelengths absorbed by gases in outer layers • And re-emitted in random directions • Leaving dark lines • E.g. Balmer lines are produced by hydrogen The hydrogen must be excited to the $n = 2$ state Choice of star <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Miaplacidus (class A) • Temperature calculated (~ 9000 K) • temperature is class A OR <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Miaplacidus (class A) • Absorption lines are Hydrogen (Balmer) lines • strong Hydrogen/Balmer absorption lines seen in class A but not in class K | 4 2 | AO1-1b AO3-1b |
| | Mark | Criteria | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 6 | All 3 areas covered in some detail. 6 marks can be awarded even if there is an error and/or parts of one aspect missing. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 5 | All 3 areas covered at least 2 in detail. Whilst there will be gaps, there should only be an occasional error. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 4 | Two areas successfully discussed, or one discussed and two others covered partially. Whilst there will be several gaps, there should only be an occasional error. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 3 | One area discussed and one discussed partially, or all three covered partially. There are likely to be several errors and omissions in the discussion. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 2 | Only one area discussed or makes a partial attempt at two areas. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1 | None of the three areas covered without significant error. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 0 | No relevant analysis. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | | | |
|--------------|--|--|----------|
| Total | | | 6 |
|--------------|--|--|----------|

| Question | Answers | Additional comments/Guidance | Mark | AO |
|-----------------|----------------|-------------------------------------|-------------|-----------|
|-----------------|----------------|-------------------------------------|-------------|-----------|

| | | | | |
|-------------|---|--|---|--------|
| 04.1 | Quasars are formed around black holes ✓ ₁ <u>Black hole</u> (at the centre of IC2497) no longer has matter falling into it ✓ ₂ | MP2 – allow black hole no longer feeding; Black hole no longer active. If no mention of black holes no marks can be awarded. | 2 | AO2-1e |
|-------------|---|--|---|--------|

| Question | Answers | Additional comments/Guidance | Mark | AO |
|-----------------|----------------|-------------------------------------|-------------|-----------|
|-----------------|----------------|-------------------------------------|-------------|-----------|

| | | | | |
|-------------|---|---|---|--------|
| 04.2 | use of $z = v/c$ to give $v = zc = 0.0516 \times 3.00 \times 10^8$ ✓ ₁ | Accept 2sf in final answer. Condone Megaparsec, MPC or MPc but not Mps OR MpC. Unit mark cannot be awarded without an attempt at calculation. Allow correct converted unit. (eg 782 ✓ Mly ✓; 4.93×10^{10} AU; 7.40×10^{21} m) Units other than Mpc can only be awarded if there is a correct conversion – but allow ecf. (eg AE in calculating Mpc correctly converted to m) | 3 | AO2-1b |
| | $= 1.55 \times 10^7 \text{ m s}^{-1} = 1.55 \times 10^4 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ use of $v = Hd$ to give $d = \frac{v}{H}$ ✓ = $\frac{1.55 \times 10^4}{65}$ $= 238$ ✓ ₃ Mpc ✓ ₄ | | 1 | AO1-1a |

| | | | |
|--------------|--|--|----------|
| Total | | | 6 |
|--------------|--|--|----------|

| Question | Answers | Additional comments/Guidance | Mark | AO |
|----------|---|------------------------------|------|--------|
| 05.1 | Rayleigh criterion identifies the minimum subtended angle between two objects whose (images) can be resolved. ✓ ₁ (Minimum angle is when) the central maximum of (the diffraction pattern of light from) one object coincides with the first minimum of (the diffraction pattern) of the second object. ✓ ₂ | | 2 | AO1-1a |

| Question | Answers | Additional comments/Guidance | Mark | AO |
|----------|--|--|--------|------------------|
| 05.2 | Telescope is detecting U-V/wavelengths smaller than visible light ✓ ₁ Which is absorbed by (ozone in) the atmosphere so must be in space ✓ ₂ Explains why U-V light gives better resolution ✓ ₃ | MP3 needs ref to λ/D or good detail about diffraction. | 1 2 | AO3-1a AO2-1e |

| Question | Answers | Additional comments/Guidance | Mark | AO |
|--------------|--|---|----------|--------|
| 05.3 | Quantitative comparison of collecting power or resolution ✓ ₁ Arecibo brighter image or more detail ✓ ₂ Links spherical aberration to detail of image ✓ ₃ | e.g. Collecting power $\frac{305^2}{76^2} = 16$ times brighter or calculation of resolutions There is no absolute conclusion - Image from Arecibo will be brighter - Lovell likely to be better detail because no spherical aberration No mention of the effect of shape – MAX 2 | 3 | AO3-1a |
| Total | | | 8 | |